

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/702,045	10/31/2000		Louis J. Morsberger	MFSI-001/01US	8530
22903	7590	09/06/2006		EXAMINER	
COOLEY	GODWARD	LLP	ERB, NATHAN		
	ENT GROUI EN BUILDING		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	TREET, N.W		3639		
WASHING	FON, DC 20	0005-2221	DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1)		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Nathan Erb   3639	Office Action Commons	09/702,045						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Bettespon of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1139(s). In nevent, however, may a repty be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is a position above, the mainting after of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (33 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than them emilising date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any extended patient from adjustment. See 17 CFR 1.774(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 °CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled safety Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three mentils after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any searned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)								
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be waitable under the provision of 37 CRF 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fled after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply contexted by the Office last the nhines months after the mailing date of this communication. Pailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply converted by the Office last the nhines months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any carried patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>							
2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) ∑ This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ∑ Claim(s) 1.26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ∑ Claim(s) 1.26 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Status							
2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to .  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,_	<del>-</del>						
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ccepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·	··						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.						
6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	<del>-</del> • • •							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive						
•								
	•	•						
Attachment(s)	Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<ul> <li>2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	5) D Notice of Informal F						

Application/Control Number: 09/702,045 Page 2

Art Unit: 3639

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Applicant's response to Office action was received on June 29, 2006.
- 3. Regarding applicant's arguments with respect to the 35 USC 103 rejections from the previous Office action, applicant's arguments have been found to be persuasive. However, please note the new rejections under 35 USC 103 below.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-9, 16-21, and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stimson et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,502,745 B1, in view of Hamlin et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,477,504 B1.

## As per <u>Claims 1 and 25-26</u>, Stimson et al. discloses:

- a method of collecting survey information relative to a transaction (column 1, lines 21-29; column 3, lines 56-64; column 9, lines 25-38; column 10, lines 12-18);
- receiving (monetary) transaction information related to a transaction (between a consumer and a merchant), the (monetary) transaction information including consumer information (about the consumer participant in the [monetary] transaction) and (the monetary transaction information further including) merchant information (about the merchant participant in the [monetary] transaction) (column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4; column 9, lines 25-

38; here, consumer information would be the card security number; here, merchant information would be type of goods/services purchased);

Page 3

- comparing information with predetermined information (column 9, lines 25-38; this cite is being used for the general idea of comparing information with predetermined information);
- comparing the merchant information with predetermined merchant information (column 9. lines 25-38; here, merchant information would be type of goods/services purchased);
- determining whether to invite the consumer to complete a survey related to the (monetary) transaction based at least partially on (at least one of) the comparison of the information and the comparison of the merchant information (column 1, lines 21-29; column 3, lines 56-64; column 9, lines 25-38; column 10, lines 12-18; here, merchant information would be type of goods/services purchased; this cite is being used for the idea of basing whether to invite on both merchant information, as well as information in general);
- a processor-readable medium comprising code representing instructions to cause a processor to perform functions (column 4, lines 50-60; column 6, line 65, through column 7, line 21; column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4; system uses software; system has storage, so it would have processor-readable medium).

Stimson et al. fails to disclose wherein information being used to select participants is consumer information. Hamlin et al. discloses wherein information being used to select participants is consumer information (column 2, lines 51-63; column 9, lines 36-53; here, consumer information could be the consumer's age, for example). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the invention of Stimson et al. such that information being used to select participants is consumer information, as

disclosed by Hamlin et al. Hamlin et al. provides motivation in that using consumer information to select survey recipients allows a survey to be targeted toward consumers with those particular characteristics (column 2, lines 51-63; column 9, lines 36-53).

As per Claim 2, Stimson et al. further discloses: wherein the consumer information includes a consumer identification code (column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4). Stimson et al. fails to disclose the predetermined consumer information includes information relating to consumers defined as prospective offerees. Hamlin et al. further discloses the predetermined consumer information includes information relating to consumers defined as prospective offerees (column 2, lines 51-63; column 9, lines 36-53). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the invention of Stimson et al. as modified in the rejection for claims 1 and 25-26 such that the predetermined consumer information includes information relating to consumers defined as prospective offerees, as disclosed by Hamlin et al. Hamlin et al. provides motivation in that having predetermined consumer information for prospective offerees allows a survey to be targeted toward consumers with those particular characteristics (column 2, lines 51-63; column 9, lines 36-53).

As per <u>Claim 3</u>, Stimson et al. further discloses: wherein the predetermined merchant information includes information relating to categories of purchases, and wherein the determining whether to invite the consumer to complete a survey includes determining whether the transaction corresponds to one of said categories of purchases (column 9, lines 25-38).

Page 5

Art Unit: 3639

As per <u>Claim 4</u>, Stimson et al. further discloses: wherein the determining whether the transaction corresponds to one of said categories of purchases includes determining whether there is an unsatisfied quota of survey invitations for the particular type of transaction (column 9, lines 25-38; column 11, lines 63-67; column 12, lines 51-53; column 12, lines 58-60).

As per <u>Claim 5</u>, Stimson et al. further discloses: wherein the determining whether to invite the consumer to complete a survey includes determining whether the transaction meets predetermined criteria and is a qualifying transaction (column 3, lines 56-64; column 9, lines 25-38).

As per <u>Claim 6</u>, Stimson et al. further discloses: transmitting to the consumer an invitation to complete a survey relating to the qualifying transaction; receiving survey information from the consumer relating to the qualifying transaction; and processing the received survey information (column 9, lines 25-38; column 9, line 39, through column 10, line 2).

As per <u>Claim 7</u>, Stimson et al. further discloses: wherein the predetermined consumer information is provided by a party to the transaction other than a merchant (column 6, line 65, through column 7, line 21; column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4; column 9, lines 25-38; column 11, line 63, through column 12, line 63).

As per <u>Claim 8</u>, Stimson et al. further discloses: offering processed survey information to the merchant; and granting access to the processed survey information to the merchant

(column 1, lines 21-29; column 10, lines 12-18; column 10, line 19, through column 11, line 5; column 11, line 63, through column 12, line 63).

As per Claim 9, Stimson et al. further discloses: wherein the transaction information includes a transaction record, the transaction record being in an electronic form, and the receiving transaction information includes receiving a set of transaction information regarding several transactions (column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4).

# As per Claim 16, Stimson et al. discloses:

- a system for collecting survey information relative to a transaction between a consumer and a merchant (column 3, lines 56-64; column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4; column 9, line 39, through column 10, line 2);
- a monitoring interface configured to process transaction information from a transaction, the transaction information including a transaction record with information relating to a consumer to the transaction, the transaction record being in an electronic form (column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4; the transaction record would be part of the purchase records; here, information relating a consumer to the transaction would be the card security number);
- a processor configured to analyze said transaction record relative to stored information, the processor further configured to determine whether to solicit survey information from the consumer to the transaction based at least partially on the transaction record and the stored information (column 4, lines 50-60; column 6, line 65, through column 7, line 21; column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4; column 9, lines 25-38; here, the stored information would be the

criteria chosen for survey participants; here, type of goods/services purchased would be information contained in the transaction record that could be used to select survey participants);

- a participant interface configured to enable the consumer to the transaction to provide survey information (column 9, line 39, through column 10, line 2).

Stimson et al. fails to disclose wherein information being used to select participants is consumer information. Hamlin et al. discloses wherein information being used to select participants is consumer information (column 2, lines 51-63; column 9, lines 36-53; here, consumer information could be the consumer's age, for example). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the invention of Stimson et al. such that information being used to select participants is consumer information, as disclosed by Hamlin et al. Hamlin et al. provides motivation in that using consumer information to select survey recipients allows a survey to be targeted toward consumers with those particular characteristics (column 2, lines 51-63; column 9, lines 36-53).

As per Claim 17, Stimson et al. further discloses: wherein the transaction record includes information relating to a category of the transaction, and said processor determines whether to solicit survey information based on a category of the transaction (column 4, lines 50-60; column 6, line 65, through column 7, line 21; column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4; column 9, lines 25-38).

As per Claim 18, Stimson et al. further discloses: wherein the information relating to the consumer to the transaction includes transaction information for the consumer to the transaction,

through column 8, line 4).

and the processor compares transaction information of consumers to transactions with predetermined transaction information of participants in the survey (column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4; column 9, lines 25-38). Stimson et al. further discloses wherein the transaction information is an identification code (column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the invention of Stimson et al. as modified in the rejection for claim 16 such that the information relating to the consumer to the transaction includes an identification code for the consumer to the transaction, and the processor compares identification codes of consumers to transactions with predetermined identification codes of participants in the survey; in doing so, the transaction

information would be an identification code, as disclosed by Stimson et al. Stimson et al.

provides motivation in that an identification code serves to specify a particular consumer from

among a group of consumers; therefore, it would be an option for differentiating consumers in

survey participant selection (column 6, line 65, through column 7, line 21; column 7, line 22,

As per <u>Claim 19</u>, Stimson et al. further discloses: a merchant interface configured to enable a merchant to access analyzed survey information (column 1, lines 21-29; column 10, lines 12-18; column 10, line 19, through column 11, line 5; column 11, line 63, through column 12, line 63).

As per <u>Claim 20</u>, Stimson et al. further discloses: wherein the stored information is provided by a party to a transaction other than the merchant (column 6, line 65, through column

7, line 21; column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4; column 9, lines 25-38; column 11, line 63, through column 12, line 63).

As per <u>Claim 21</u>, Stimson et al. further discloses: wherein the receiving transaction information includes receiving the transaction information from a financial institution associated with the consumer (column 6, line 65, through column 7, line 21; column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4).

As per <u>Claim 23</u>, Stimson et al. further discloses: wherein the monitor is configured to receive the transaction record from a financial institution associated with the consumer (column 6, line 65, through column 7, line 21; column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4).

As per <u>Claim 24</u>, Stimson et al. further discloses: wherein the stored consumer information is provided by a financial institution associated with the consumer (column 6, line 65, through column 7, line 21; column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4).

5. Claims 10-15 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stimson et al. in view of Thomas, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0002482 A1.

As per Claim 10, Stimson et al. discloses:

- a method of collecting survey information relative to a transaction (column 1, lines 21-29; column 3, lines 56-64; column 9, lines 25-38; column 10, lines 12-18);

- developing historical consumer information for each of the participating consumers (column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4; here, historical consumer information would be the purchase records);

- receiving transaction information relating to a transaction, the transaction information including information relating to the consumer in the transaction (column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4; column 9, lines 25-38; here, the information relating to the consumer in the transaction is the card security number);
- determining, using the information relating to the consumer in the transaction, whether the consumer in the transaction is a participating consumer (column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4; here, the information relating to the consumer in the transaction is the card security number; the host computer of the main processor checks the database for the data associated with the codes; this step also reveals whether the consumer in the transaction is a participating consumer);
- determining, using the historical consumer information, whether to collect survey information from the consumer in the transaction (column 9, lines 25-38; historical consumer information would include original transaction information that is used to determine whether to survey a consumer).

Stimson et al. fails to disclose inviting consumers to participate in a survey program.

Thomas discloses inviting consumers to participate in a survey program (Figure 8; paragraph [0029]; paragraphs [0072]-[0073]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the invention of Stimson et al. such that it invites consumers to participate in a survey program, as disclosed by Thomas. Thomas provides

motivation in that inviting consumers to participate in a survey program allows a database to be built that is useful for selecting survey participants (paragraph [0029]).

Stimson et al. fails to disclose receiving consumer information from participating consumers. Thomas further discloses receiving consumer information from participating consumers (paragraph [0029]; paragraphs [0072]-[0073]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the invention of Stimson et al. as modified above in this rejection such that it receives consumer information from participating consumers, as disclosed by Thomas. Thomas provides motivation in that receiving consumer information from participating consumers allows a database to be built that is useful for selecting survey participants (paragraph [0029]).

As per <u>Claim 11</u>, Stimson et al. further discloses: wherein the information relating to the consumer in the transaction includes a consumer identification code, and the historical consumer information includes the number of surveys completed by the consumer (column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4; column 9, lines 25-38).

As per <u>Claim 12</u>, Stimson et al. further discloses: wherein the transaction information includes a category of the transaction, and the determining whether to collect survey information from the consumer in the transaction uses the category of the transaction (column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4; column 9, lines 25-38).

Application/Control Number: 09/702,045

Page 12

Art Unit: 3639

As per Claim 13, Stimson et al. further discloses: wherein soliciting survey information includes transmitting a survey invitation to the consumer to the transaction (column 9, line 39, through column 10, line 2); having instructions regarding the survey in the survey instrument (column 10, lines 12-18; column 10, line 19, through column 11, line 5). Stimson et al. fails to disclose wherein the survey invitation includes the survey instrument. Thomas further discloses wherein the survey invitation includes the survey instrument (paragraph [0030]; paragraphs [0067]-[0071]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the invention of Stimson et al. as modified in the rejection for claim 10 such that the survey invitation includes the survey instrument, as disclosed by Thomas. Thomas provides motivation in that when the survey invitation includes the survey instrument, the survey participants immediately have the survey instrument to work on when they receive their survey invitations (paragraph [0030]; paragraphs [0067]-[0071]).

As per Claim 14, Stimson et al. fails to disclose wherein the survey invitation includes a survey instrument. Thomas further discloses wherein the survey invitation includes a survey instrument (paragraph [0030]; paragraphs [0067]-[0071]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the invention of Stimson et al. as modified in the rejection for claim 13 such that the survey invitation includes a survey instrument, as disclosed by Thomas. Thomas provides motivation in that when the survey invitation includes the survey instrument, the survey participants immediately have the survey instrument to work on when they receive their survey invitations (paragraph [0030]; paragraphs [0067]-[0071]).

Application/Control Number: 09/702,045 Page 13

Art Unit: 3639

As per <u>Claim 15</u>, Stimson et al. further discloses: wherein the transaction information includes a transaction record, the transaction record being in an electronic form, and the code representing instructions to cause a processor to receive transaction information is configured to cause a processor to receive a set of transaction information regarding several transactions (column 4, lines 50-60; column 6, line 65, through column 7, line 21; column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4).

As per <u>Claim 22</u>, Stimson et al. further discloses: wherein the receiving transaction information includes receiving the transaction information from a financial institution associated with the consumer (column 6, line 65, through column 7, line 21; column 7, line 22, through column 8, line 4).

#### Conclusion

6. **Examiner's Note:** Examiner has cited particular portions of the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that the applicant, in preparing the responses, fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 09/702,045

Art Unit: 3639

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nathan Erb whose telephone number is (571) 272-7606. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays, 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-6708. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nathan Erb Examiner

Art Unit 3639

nhe

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 14